# IN THE COUNTY COURT IN AND FOR

# PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

## RE: STANDING DISCOVERY ORDER FOR DIVISION RH

## \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_/

# The following procedures are designed to help the parties and the Court work together to accomplish civil discovery without undue delay and unnecessary expense.

## GENERAL DISCOVERY PRINCIPLES

* 1. **Rule 1.280**

An objection that a discovery request is not reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence will be overruled by this Court unless the objection states its basis.

* 1. **Rule 1.280 - Protective Orders**

This Rule of Civil Procedure permits the Court to enter a protective order "to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense." A motion seeking relief under this Rule must include a specific explanation, supported by facts, demonstrating how complying with the discovery request would cause annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or cost.

* 1. **Non-Waiver**

Discovery is a dynamic process. What is relevant or proportionate or cumulative or unduly burdensome can change as a case moves forward. The Court recognizes that a party may be unwilling to compromise its position on a particular discovery request because of concern that the concession will be deemed to waive a future objection or a future demand for related discovery. To eliminate this concern, the Court evaluates all discovery requests and responses

individually. Therefore, by responding, in whole or in part, to a discovery request, a party does not waive any objection to a future request. Likewise, by agreeing to limit a discovery demand, a party does not waive its right to seek additional discovery in the future. Parties need not serve a response or objection that specifically reserves their rights or disavows a waiver.

## DISCOVERY OBJECTIONS

* 1. **Boilerplate or General Objections**

The parties shall not make nonspecific, boilerplate objections. The parties also shall not make General Objections that are not tied to a particular discovery request. Such objections will be summarily overruled.

## Vague, Overly Broad, and Undulv Burdensome

Objections that state that a discovery request is "vague, overly broad, or unduly burdensome" will be overruled by this Court. If a party believes that a request or a term is vague, the party shall state the objections in writing and that party shall then attempt to obtain clarification from opposing counsel.

If a party believes a discovery request seeks irrelevant information or is unduly burdensome, that party shall confer in good faith with opposing counsel to narrow the scope of the request before asserting these objections. The objecting party nevertheless shall respond as to those matters for which the scope or burden is not contested. For example, if there is an objection based upon the scope of the request, such as time frame or geographic location, discovery should be provided as to the time period or locations that are not disputed, and the response should clearly state such. Thus, if discovery is sought nationwide for a ten-year period, and the responding party objects on the grounds that only a five-year period limited to activities in the State of Florida is appropriate, the responding party shall provide responsive discovery falling within the five-year period as to the State of Florida and state such with its objection to the remainder.

A party objecting on any of these grounds must explain the specific and particular way in which a request is vague, seeks irrelevant information or is unduly burdensome. *See Topp Telecom, Inc. v. Atkins,* 763 So. 2d 1197, 1199 (Fla. 4th DCA 2000) (an affidavit must be provided stating the factual basis for the assertion of undue burden).

## Formulaic Objections Followed by an Answer

A party shall not recite a formulaic objection followed by an answer to the request. It has become common practice for a party to object to a discovery request, and then state that "notwithstanding the above," the party will respond to the discovery request, subject to or without waiving such objection. Such a response will be deemed to preserve nothing. Further, it leaves the requesting party uncertain as to whether the discovery request (as propounded) has actually been fully answered, whether the response relates only to the request as unilaterally narrowed by the responding party, and whether the responding party is withholding any responsive materials.

The proper practice is to state (1) whether documents are being provided in response to the request and identify those documents by sequential number or category, and (2) whether any responsive documents are being withheld, and if so the specific legal basis for that objection.

Samples of proper objections include:

Defendant is providing documents marked as Defense 1 - 250, as well as a

USB drive containing emails for the following custodians in native format \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Defendant has identified other documents which are responsive to the request as propounded, but Defendant asserts that those additional documents are irrelevant to the claims and defenses in this matter because \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

Plaintiff is providing documents marked as Plaintiff 1 - 100. Plaintiff has

identified other documents which are responsive to the request as propounded, but Plaintiff asserts that production of those materials would be unduly burdensome and disproportionate to the needs of the case because the burden and expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit for the following reasons:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

## Production at an Indeterminate Time

It has also become a common practice to respond to Requests for Production by saying that the party will either produce responsive materials, or make those materials available for inspection, at an indeterminate future date. Such a response is not a response and only serves to delay the discovery process. Production must be completed no later than the time for inspection specified in the request or another reasonable time specified in the response. Hence, unless all unobjectionable materials are being produced contemporaneously with the written response, the response must specify a date by which production will be completed; the respondent may adopt the date proposed in the request or may propose its own reasonable time, after consultation with opposing counsel.

## Objections Based upon Privilege- Requests for Production and Interrogatories

Generalized objections asserting attorney-client privilege or work product doctrine do not comply with the Rules. The Rules require that objections based upon privilege identify the specific nature of the privilege being asserted, as well as, *inter alia,* the nature and subject matter of the communication at issue and the sender and receiver of the communication and their relationship to each other. The production of non-privileged materials should not be delayed while a party is preparing a privilege log or seeking a ruling. The log shall be in accord with *TIG. Ins. Corp. v. Johnson,* 799 So. 2d 339, 341 (Fla. 4th DCA 2001).

## Instructions to the Responding Party.

A party propounding discovery cannot impose legal obligations on the respondent through the use of Instructions. Discovery is governed by the rules of Court, which cannot be unilaterally supplemented by a party. Any Instruction that purports to impose a duty not otherwise mandated by the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure has no legal effect.

**DONE and ORDERED** at West Palm Beach, Florida.

